3. The same quantities of 1 and $2 a$ and benzene were used as in the two previous cases; however, 2 a was washed with a $50 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and distilled under reduced pressure at $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 1 torr). The reaction mixture was held at reflux for 84 h in a closed system with a reflux condenser in the absence of a Dean-Stark trap. The condenser was closed with an oil bubbler. After 84 h TLC did not show any appreciable amount of the cyclized product 5a. Evaporation of benzene to dryness gave a quantitative recovery of 4 a .
4. The reaction sequence termed 3 above was repeated by employing a Dean-Stark trap but under a nitrogen (Ameri-Gas, $99 \%$ ) atmosphere. After the reaction was held for 12 h in refluxing benzene, no trace of $5 a$ was observed (compare $50 \%$ in experiment 1), and after 48 h only $10 \%$ of 5 a had been formed.
5. The reaction sequence termed 1 above was carried out in the presence of 1.5 g ( 0.01 mol ) of DBU ( 1,8 -diazabicyclo[5.4.0] undec-5-ene) although $2 a$ was pretreated as indicated under
6. After 70 h no 5 a was detected. Although DBU is a strong enough base ${ }^{9}$ to act as a proton scavenger and also abstract the proton from the $\alpha$ position of the ester, the Schiff base was observed in this sequence by TLC. The same reaction was repeated (reagents the same as above) with the addition of 0.7 g ( 0.01 mol ) of imidazole. After the mixture was held for 50 h in refluxing benzene, the amount of the cyclized product 5 a was estimated to be $10-15 \%$.
Registry No. 1, 7303-49-3; 2a, 100-52-7; 2b, 2043-61-0; 3a, 90414-29-2; 3b, 90414-30-5; 4a, 19779-75-0; 4b, 90414-31-6; cis-5a, 50302-60-8; trans-5a, 50302-61-9; cis-5b, 75140-08-8; trans-5b, 73327-06-7.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental details for the work with 1 and cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde (2b) and additional experiments with 2a (2 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
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A variety of organomercurials react regioselectively with $1,4-, 1,5-, 1,6$-, and 1,7 -dienes and $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ to form good yields of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds. These reactions apparently proceed by organopalladium addition to one of the diene double bonds and subsequent palladium migration.
( $\pi$-Allyl)palladium compounds were first prepared 25 years ago. ${ }^{2}$ In the meantime, a number of procedures have been reported for their preparation, ${ }^{36}$ the most important of which are the insertion of palladium $(0)$ reagents into the carbon-halogen bond of allylic halides ${ }^{7-11}$ and the direct allylic hydrogen substitution of alkenes by palladium salts. ${ }^{12-17}$ The former method requires substrates difficult to prepare and handle, while the latter suffers from an inability to predict or control the regioselectivity of palladation.
The palladium-promoted addition of organomercurials to 1,3 -dienes and alkenes has recently provided new routes
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Scheme I



to ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds. Thus, certain organomercurials react with $\mathrm{LiPdCl}_{3}$ and 1,3-dienes to afford ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds (eq 1)..$^{18,19}$ We have found

that vinylmercurials readily react with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ and simple
(18) Heck, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, $90,5542$.
(19) Stakem, F. G.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3584.

Table I. Synthesis of ( $\pi$-Allyl)palladium Compounds

| entry | organomercurial | diene | temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  | compd <br> no. | \% yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{HgCl}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow 25 \\ & -10 \rightarrow+25 \\ & 0 \rightarrow 40(4 \mathrm{~h}) \end{aligned}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \\ & \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \end{aligned}$ | H | H | 1 | 100 (61) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CHgCl}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 53 (30) |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{HgCl}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |  |  | 3 | 87 (44) |
| 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{HgCl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { trans }-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $0 \rightarrow 25$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4 | 91 (48) |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 5 | 100 (51) |
| 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \\ & \left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $-10 \rightarrow+25$ |  |  | H |  | 6 | $\sim 93^{\text {b }}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}$ |  |  |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | 7 | 25 (17) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CHgCl}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | H |  | 8 | 43 (24) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{HgCl}$ |  | $0 \rightarrow 25$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |  |  | 3 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |  |  | 3 | $\sim 25[\sim 50]^{d}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{0 \rightarrow 25}{-78 \rightarrow+25}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 | 55 (50) |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |  |  | 10 | 61 (55) |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ |  | H | 12 | 23 |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield (recrystallized yield). ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Syn} /$ anti mixture ( $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ reversed). ${ }^{c}$ Plus $16 \%$ of compound 14 . ${ }^{d}$ Reaction run with 10 equiv of diene; $42 \%$ trans-1-phenyl-1,5-hexadiene and isomers observed.
acyclic ${ }^{20}$ or cyclic ${ }^{21}$ alkenes to afford good to excellent yields of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds apparently through a palladium hydride rearrangement (eq 2). With the great

current interest in applications of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds in organic synthesis, ${ }^{22,23}$ we were interested in exploring whether this latter type of remote palladium migration could be extended to other systems, especially those in which the palladium was even further removed from the carbon-carbon double bond. During the course of our work, Heck has reported that the palladium-catalyzed arylation and vinylation of 1,4 -dienes apparently proceeds by just such reactions to generate ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium intermediates that under his conditions afford allylic amines and 1,3 -dienes. ${ }^{24}$ At this time we wish to report that organomercurials do indeed react with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ and $1,4-, 1,5-, 1,6$-, and 1,7 -dienes to give good yields of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds by remote palladium migration.

## Results and Discussion

While our earlier work with vinylmercurials, $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$, and simple olefins ${ }^{20,21}$ (eq 2) clearly indicated that homoallylic palladium compounds could cleanly rearrange via palladium hydride elimination-readdition to afford ( $\pi$ allyl)palladium compounds, we chose to prepare these same intermediates by a different pathway, namely, organopalladium additions to 1,4 -dienes, to see if ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds could also be generated in this

[^1]manner (Scheme I). The results of these experiments are summarized in entries 1-7 in Table I. Good to excellent yields can indeed be obtained in this fashion.
Organopalladium additions to 1,4 -dienes appear to be highly regioselective (entries 4 and 5), attack occurring on the least substituted double bond exclusively. In contrast to the recent results of Heck, ${ }^{24}$ we do not see any products derived from the organic moiety adding to the internal carbon of the double bond. We are not certain if this is due to the lower temperatures involved in our work, the absence of phosphine or amine ligands, or the difference in the organopalladium precursors (organomercurials vs. organic halides).
The reaction of 3 -methyl-1,4-pentadiene and phenylmercuric chloride (entry 6) was examined to establish if palladium is able to migrate to a branched carbon. Indeed, one obtains a high yield of an approximately $1: 1$ mixture of the expected syn and anti isomers 6 . Unfortunately, unknown impurities prevented these compounds from being obtained in pure form.
To determine if palladium can migrate past a branched carbon, the reaction of 2,4-dimethyl-1,4-pentadiene and ethylmercuric chloride was studied (entry 7). This reaction apparently proceeds by elimination of palladium hydride from the initially formed ethylpalladium species and subsequent addition to the diene. This approach to the generation of a palladium hydride has been used previously by Heck in his work on additions to 1,3 -dienes. ${ }^{19}$ The anticipated product is obtained in $25 \%$ yield as an approximately $3: 2$ mixture of the syn and anti isomers 7 , respectively. We attribute the low yield to the increased substitution about the double bonds of the diene.
The reaction of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$, phenylmercuric chloride, and 1,4-cyclohexadiene gave unexpected results. Rather than isolating the anticipated ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compound, the corresponding allylic chloride 13 (stereochemistry undetermined) was obtained instead. It is not clear why the intermediate organopalladium compound in this case is not stable.


13

In conclusion, homoallylic palladium compounds generated by either vinylpalladium addition to alkenes ${ }^{20,21}$ or by organopalladium addition to 1,4-dienes readily undergo palladium migration to form ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds.

A greater challenge lies in the reaction of 1,5-dienes with organopalladium compounds, since the palladium moiety in this situation is required to migrate two carbon atoms prior to ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium formation. Nevertheless, reasonably good yields of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds are obtained from 1,5-hexadiene as illustrated by entries 8-10 in Table I. This unique approach to ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compound 8 is particularly interesting, since this compound ${ }^{11}$ has recently proved useful in the synthesis of highly biologically active prostaglandin endoperoxide analogues. ${ }^{25}$ This particular reaction also gives rise to an interesting cyclopentenone product 14 . A similar cycli-


14
zation product has been observed in the palladium-catalyzed carbonylation of 1,5 -hexadiene. ${ }^{26}$ With 1,5 -dienes, however, a complication is encountered that is not observed with 1,4 -dienes. Palladium hydride elimination becomes a significant side reaction as evidenced by the formation of trans-1-phenyl-1,5-hexadiene and other isomers in entry 9. Rather than decompose, however, the palladium hydride apparently adds to the starting $1,5-$ diene generating a second ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium complex 3. With phenylmercuric chloride (entry 9 ) and a 10 -fold excess of 1,5 -hexadiene, one can very efficiently trap the palladium. A combined yield of the two ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds 9 and 3 of $\sim 90 \%$ can be obtained. To generate the hydride-derived ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium product cleanly, all one needs to do is to employ ethylmercuric chloride. In entries $8-10$ it is not obvious if the palladium hydride derived product is arising from hydride addition to the terminal carbon or the internal carbon of the diene. The palladium-catalyzed hydro- or deuteriocyanation of terminal alkenes results in hydrogen or deuterium adding to the internal carbon of the alkene. ${ }^{27}$ If this is happening in our case, that means that the palladium moiety is now migrating three carbons to form the ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium product.

To test this possibility, we have looked at the reactions of 1,6 -heptadiene and 1,7-octadiene. Ethylmercuric chloride reacts cleanly with 1,6 -heptadiene and $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ to give a $61 \%$ yield of the expected ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compound 10 (entry 11). No isomeric ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds are observed. On the other hand, the analogous reaction with 1,7-octadiene gives approximately a $3: 1$ mixture of two ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds 11 and 12 in $92 \%$ isolated yield ( $64 \%$ recrystallized yield) (entry 12). Note that the major isomer is not 12, the compound expected from simple palladium hydride addition and migration. It would appear that the major product 11 arises by palladium hydride transfer from one double bond to another as shown in Scheme II. Increasing the amount of 1,7 -octadiene from 3 to 30 equiv failed to change the ratio of 11 to 12 . This perhaps suggests that the intermediate palladium hydride

[^2]
remains strongly coordinated throughout the reaction to the molecule to which addition originally occurred and is not easily transferred to another diene. Presumably intramolecular coordination of the other double bond promotes intramolecular palladium hydride transfer that results in preferential formation of compound 11. It is not obvious why similar rearrangements are not encountered with 1,6-heptadiene, although the stereochemistry of intermediate (diene) HPdCl complexes may be responsible.
A couple of other general comments are in order here. In this work it appears that the relative reactivity of the organomercurials is $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CHgCl}>\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{HgCl} \geq \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}>\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{HgCl}$ as judged by the disappearance of the rust red color of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$. These changes are observed at about $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CHgCl}, \sim 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{HgCl}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}$, and $\sim 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{HgCl}$.

Regarding the mechanism of these reactions, the majority of results are most easily explained by initial organopalladium or hydridopalladium addition to the less hindered double bond of the diene, followed by a series of palladium hydride elimination-readdition reactions until an allylpalladium compound is formed. The intermediate palladium hydride species formed in these elimination reactions apparently remains strongly coordinated to the newly generated double bond. Were it to completely eliminate from the diene, we might expect to see additional ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds derived from palladium hydride addition to this new diene (Scheme III). Only in the reaction of 1,7 -octadiene is such a product observed.

In conclusion, organopalladium additions to $1,4-, 1,5-$, $1,6-$, and 1,7-dienes provide a novel new method for the preparation of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds. These reactions are regioselective and allow for the incorporation of functional groups as well.

## Experimental Section

Reagents and Equipment. 1,4-Pentadiene (99\%), 1,4cyclohexadiene ( $97 \%$ ), 1,5 -hexadiene ( $98 \%$ ), and phenylmercuric chloride were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. in the purity indicated and used without further purification. 2-Methyl-1,4pentadiene ( $99 \%$ ), 3 -methyl-1,4-pentadiene ( $99 \%$ ), 2,4-di-methyl-1,4-pentadiene ( $99 \%$ ), trans-1,4-hexadiene ( $98 \%$ ), and 1,6-heptadiene ( $99 \%$ ) were purchased from Chemical Samples Co. (now Wiley Organics). 1,7-Octadiene ( $>95 \%$ ), ethylmercuric chloride, and methylmercuric chloride were purchased from Tokyo Kasei. Carbomethoxymercuric chloride was prepared according
to a literature procedure. ${ }^{28}$ Palladium chloride was generously provided by Johnson Matthey and Engelhard Industries on their academic loan programs.

Infrared spectra were recorded on Beckman 4250 or Hitachi 260-10 infrared spectrometers, and proton NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ solvent by using either a JEOL PMX 60 or Hitachi Perkin-Elmer R20B NMR spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., and the Office of Elemental Analysis, Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University.

Synthesis of ( $\pi$-Allyl)palladium Compounds. The following preparation of bis-( $\mu$-chloro) bis[(1-3- $\eta$ )-5-phenyl-2-pentenyl]dipalladium (compound 1, entry 1, Table I) is representative of the procedure used for the preparation of all ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds. To 75 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF) containing 510 $\mathrm{mg}(12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{LiCl}, 887 \mathrm{mg}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, and 0.80 mL ( 7.5 mmol ) of 1,4 -pentadiene, 1.57 g ( 5 mmol ) of phenylmercuric chloride was added under nitrogen while the flask was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. Ether and activated carbon were added to the reaction mixture that was then filtered, washed several times with aqueous ammonium chloride and aqueous sodium chloride, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After removal of the solvent, the resulting oil was chromatographed on a silica gel column using $4: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate as the eluant. Evaporation of the solvent afforded 1.44 g ( $100 \%$ yield) of a yellow oil that was crystallized from methylene chloride giving 0.88 g ( $61 \%$ ) of pure ( $\pi$-allyl) palladium complex: $\mathrm{mp} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ 0.32 (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, phenyl), 5.25 (td, $J=12$ and $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.92 (d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, syn $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.63-4.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.83-2.23$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 46.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.56$. Found: C, 45.77; H, 4.62.
All other ( $\pi$-allyl) palladium compounds were prepared in a similar fashion. Table I gives the experimental details. In most cases, the crude product tends to be pretty pure and losses upon purification appear to be due more to inefficient recrystallization than impurities. The spectroscopic and elemental analysis data follow.
$\operatorname{Bis}(\mu$-chloro) bis[(1-3- $)$-5-carbomethoxy-2-pentenyl]dipalladium (2): mp $100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.26$ (silica gel, $3: 2$ hexane/ethyl acetate); IR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=0)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.38$ (td, $J=12$ and $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{syn} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $3.63-4.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H , anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.62\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, 31.25; H, 4.12. Found: C, 31.14; H, 4.23 .
Bis $(\mu$-chloro) bis[(1-3- $)$ )-2-hexenyl]dipalladium (3): $\mathrm{mp} 98-99$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{98-99}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.41$ (silica gel, 3:1 hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.35$ (td, $J=12$ and $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{syn} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.70-4.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.87$ (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, anti $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.47-1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4,5} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), $0.83-1.16$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 32.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.93$. Found (product from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{HgCl}$, entry 3): $\mathrm{C}, 31.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.95$. Found: (product from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}$, entry 10 ); $\mathrm{C}, 32.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.01$.
$\operatorname{Bis}(\mu$-chloro) bis $[(2-4-\eta)-6$-phenyl-3-hexenyl]dipalladium (4): $\mathrm{mp} 153-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.38$ (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, phenyl), $5.17\left(\mathrm{t}, J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.47-3.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2,4} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.80-2.13$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.28 (d, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 47.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.02$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 47.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.93$.
$\operatorname{Bis}(\mu$-chloro $)$ bis [(1-3- $\eta$ )-2-methyl-5-phenyl-2-pentenyl]dipalladium (5): $\mathrm{mp} 173-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{18} \mathrm{mp} 169.5-170.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.34$ (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28$ (s, 5 H , phenyl), $3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{syn} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $2.73-3.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.80-2.18 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 47.86$; H, 5.02. Found: C, 46.90; H, 5.12.

The two isomers of bis $(\mu$-chloro $)$ bis[(1-3- $\eta)-3$-methyl-5-phenyl-2-pentenyl]dipalladium (6) could not be isolated in pure form (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate $R_{f} 0.38$ and 0.42 ) but
could be recognized by the presence of the syn methyl at $\delta 1.47$ and the anti methyl at $\delta 1.25$ in the proton NMR spectrum. The spectrum was too complicated to make further assignments.

The syn and anti isomers of bis $(\mu$-chloro $)$ bis $[(1-3-\eta)-2,4$-di-methyl-2-pentenyl]dipalladium (7) were inseparable by chromatography (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate, $R_{f} 0.43$ ), but the relative ratio of syn/anti (3:2) could be determined by integration of the appropriate NMR peaks assigned as follows, syn-7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, syn $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \sim 2.2(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ). Anti-7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{syn} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \sim 1.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $1.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, $35.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.48$. Found: C, $35.29 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.25. The melting point of the mixture was $156-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Bis $(\mu$-chloro) bis $[(1-3-\eta)$-6-carbomethoxy-2-hexenyl]dipalladium (8): mp $79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mp} 78.5-81.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.19$ (silica gel, $3: 2$ hexane/ethyl acetate); IR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=0)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.38\left(\mathrm{td}, J=12\right.$ and $\left.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, syn $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.43-4.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.25-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}\right)$, 1.67-2.00 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4,5} \mathrm{H}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, 33.95; H, 4.63. Found: C, 34.04; H, 4.72. This compound was identical with a previously prepared sample ${ }^{11}$ in all respects.

Bis ( $\mu$-chloro) bis $[(1-3-\eta)$-2-heptenyl]dipalladium (10): mp 60-61 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.48$ (silica gel, $3: 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.36\left(\mathrm{td}, J=12\right.$ and $\left.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, syn $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.75-4.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, anti $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.22-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{4,5,6} \mathrm{H}\right), 0.81-1.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 35.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.48$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 35.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.66$.

The ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds 9 and 3 obtained as a mixture from experiments indicated in entry 9, Table I, were not easily separable, so yields and characterization were established by NMR spectroscopy. Several isomeric phenylhexadienes were observed by GC-MS and NMR spectroscopy, the major isomer ( $\sim 70 \%$ ) being trans-1-phenyl-1,5-hexadiene [ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, phenyl), $6.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 5.4-6.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}\right), 5.0-5.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, cis $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}\right), 4.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H , trans $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3.4} \mathrm{H}\right)$ ].
The mixture of ( $\pi$-allyl)palladium compounds 11 and 12 was also inseparable by chromatography. Their relative ratio was determined by integration of appropriate NMR peaks which were assigned as follows. Bis $(\mu$-chloro $)$ bis $[(2-4-\eta)-3$-octenyll dipalladium (11): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.25\left(\mathrm{t}, J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.5-3.9$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2,4} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.1-2.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right), 1.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.7-1.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. $\operatorname{Bis}(\mu$-chloro) bis $[(1-3-\eta)-2$-octenyl]dipalladium (12): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.37$ (td, $J=12$ and $\left.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, syn $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, anti $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}$ ). Since compound 12 is the minor product in the mixture, no further attempt to assign NMR peaks was made. There was no evidence for $\operatorname{bis}(\mu$-chloro) bis $[(3-5-\eta)-4-o c-$ tenyl]dipalladium by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis. The elemental analysis of the mixture of 11 and 12 follows. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ : C, 37.97; H, 5.97. Found: C, $38.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.12$. The melting point of the mixture was $87-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound 12 reportedly melts at $126^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .3^{30}$
The physical data for compounds 13 and 14 follow. 3-Chloro-5-phenylcyclohexene (13): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.05$ (s, 5 H , phenyl), $5.7-5.8$ (m, 2 H, vinyl), 4.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCl}$ ), 3.15 ( tt , $J=10$ and $5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHPh}$ ), $2.0-2.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~s}\right)$; IR (neat) $3040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH})$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 192.07084$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}, 192.07058$ ). 2-Methyl-5-(carbomethoxymethyl)-2-cyclopenten-1-one (14): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DCCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25$ (s, 1 H , vinyl), $3.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 1.9-2.9(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $1700,1735(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 820(=\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ 168.07850 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 168.07865$ ).
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#### Abstract

The fluorescence of 7 -aminocoumarins is quenched by a variety of organic electron donors or acceptors in acetonitrile. In general, donors with half-wave oxidation potentials less positive than 1.0 V vs. SCE and acceptors with reduction potentials less negative than -1.5 V vs. SCE are candidates for diffusion limited quenching of coumarin singlet states. Profiles of quenching rates are consistent with calculated free energies for electron transfer between excited coumarins and donors or acceptors. In flash photolysis experiments electron transfer for several dyes and quenchers (e.g., methyl viologen) is demonstrated. Relatively low yields of net electron transfer are consistently obtained due to inefficient ionic photodissociation via singlet quenching or a low yield of more photoactive coumarin triplets. Electrochemical properties of the coumarins have been investigated by cyclic voltammetry with the indications of reversible oxidation and irreversible reduction as important processes.


The 7-aminocoumarins (e.g., 1-5) constitute an important class of organic dyes which lase ${ }^{2}$ and which in some circumstances may act as photosensitizers. ${ }^{3,4}$ These

structures are also related to the furocoumarins which have received much attention due to their photobiological properties. ${ }^{5}$ In previous papers in this series, photophysical and photochemical properties for coumarin dyes have

[^3]Table I. Absorption and Emission Properties of Coumarin Dyes in Acetonitrile

|  | $\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}{ }^{a}$ | $\lambda_{\mathbf{f}}{ }^{a}$ | $\tau_{f}{ }^{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathrm{ns}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 367 | 434 | 2.8 |
| 2 | 396 | 501 | 0.60 |
| 3 | 380 | 451 | 2.8 |
| 4 | 418 | 521 | 4.6 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 454 | 501 | $(3.1)^{c}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Absorption ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) and emission ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) maxima in nm. ${ }^{b}$ Fluorescence lifetimes reported for $\mathrm{N}_{2^{-}}$or Ar-purged solutions (ref 6) corrected for fluorescence quenching by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in air-saturated solutions (ref 6 c ). ${ }^{c}$ Obtained from dimethylaniline quenching results (see text).
been reported with particular attention given to the pronounced medium dependences of spectral properties and emission yields associated with the highly polar coumarin excited states (nominally depicted by 6). ${ }^{6}$ Other recent investigations have been directed to the mechanism of coumarin photodegradation, ${ }^{7}$ the behavior of coumarin dyes in water and in aqueous detergent media, ${ }^{8}$ and the effects of medium and additives on photostability and emission yield under lasing conditions. ${ }^{9-11}$
The coumarin dyes give rise to strong, broad absorption bands extending into the visible accompanied by solvent dependent red shifts in emission indicative of an emissive state having a large dipole moment (i.e., 6). The interaction of such excited species with added quenchers has not been studied in detail although coumarin singlet ${ }^{6 c, 12}$
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